

Growing Up: Lessons learned and future innovations for green infrastructure

Dr Tony Matthews

SEQCA 2022





Lessons to date

- A quiet revolution
- 15 years of insight

- Unsettled definition
- Amenity vs necessity
- Need for evidence



Coldridge Street, Brisbane



Values of GI

Ecological

 regulate ambient temperatures, reduce noise, lower wind speeds, sequester carbon, attenuate runoff, enhance/augment habitats



Malvern urban forest, Victoria



Values of GI

Social

 relieve stress, reduce morbidity and mortality, foster active living, encourage social interaction, moderate incivility



Southbank Parklands, Brisbane



Values of GI

Economic

 reduce stormwater costs, reduce cooling costs, decrease healthcare expenses, increase property values



Planchonella House, Cairns



GI Disservices

- Ecological
 - human-wildlife conflict, weeds and/or pest species, lower groundwater

Social

 eco-gentrification, health impacts (e.g. asthma, allergies), change character of an area, fear of crime, animal attacks

Economic

 increase property values, damage infrastructure, increase maintenance and insurance costs; thermal inequality



Looking ahead

- Proper species selection
- Governance uncertainty
- Monetary valuation
- Cohort experience (seniors & children)



Bencoolen Street, Singapore



Proper species selection

- Lack of scientific knowledge on species selection, location and performance
- Needed to grow business case
- Regional climate, soils, irrigation, planter types, pests
- Wrong greenery can be worse than none



Long-cycle green infrastructure testing facility, Griffith University, Nathan campus (under construction)



Governance uncertainty

- Broad awareness of GI
- Biggest barrier is lack of experience
- Worry re biophysical challenges
- Liability concerns
- Political context and funding
- Public involvement



EVA Lanxmeer eco-village, The Netherlands



Monetary valuation

- Non-traditional intervention
- Value; cost; develop; leave undeveloped?
- \$ value on un-priced resources
- Common currency
- Pragmatics



Outlook Parade Park, Ormiston



Cohort experience

Seniors

- Slower mobilities, co-morbidities
- Active, aging in place

Children

- Vulnerable to adverse effects of cities
- Influence of green-space on health and development



Wellington Street, Ormiston



Future proves past

- 15+ years of GI research, practice
- Benefits documented and proven
- Learning as we go
- Evolving science and data
- Governance concerns persist
- New frontiers emerging



The Urban Forest, South Brisbane

(Proposed by Aria Property Group)

We now know with certainty that green infrastructure brings many benefits to cities.



References & Further Reading

- Ambrey, C., Byrne, J., Matthews, T., Davidson, A., Portanger, C. and Lo, A. (2017) Cultivating climate justice: green infrastructure and suburban disadvantage in Australia. *Applied Geography* 89, 52-60.
- Baldwin, C., Matthews, T. and Byrne, J. (2020) Planning for older people in a rapidly warming and ageing world: The role of urban greening. *Urban Policy and Research* 38, 3, 199-212
- Bendict, M.A., McMahon, E.T. (2006) Green infrastructure: Linking landscapes and communities. Island Press, Washington, DC.
- Burley, B.A. (2018) Green infrastructure and violence: Do new street trees mitigate violent crime? Health & Place 54, 43-49.
- Byrne, J., Ambrey, C., Lo, A., Portanger, C., Matthews, T., Baker, D. and Davidson, A. (2016) Could urban greening mitigate suburban thermal inequity? Role of residents' dispositions and household practices. *Environmental Research Letters* 11, 9.
- Byrne, J.A., Gleeson, B., Howes, M. and Steele, W. (2009) The limits of ecological modernization as an adaptive strategy, in: Davoudi, S., Crawford, J., Mehmood, A. (Eds.), *Planning for Climate Change: Strategies for Mitigation and Adaptation for Spatial Planners*. Earthscan, London, pp. 136-154.
- Horwood, K. (2011) Green infrastructure: reconciling urban green space and regional economic development: lessons learnt from experience in England's northwest region. *Local Environment* 16, 963-975.
- Hunter, R.F., Cleland, C., Cleary, A., *et al.* (2019) Environmental, health, wellbeing, social and equity effects of urban green space interventions: A meta-narrative evidence synthesis. *Environment International* 130, 104923.
- Islam, M.Z., Johnston, J. and Sly, P.D. (2020) Green space and early childhood development: A systematic review. *Reviews of Environmental Health* 35, 2,189-200.
- Lo, A.Y. (2012) The encroachment of value pragmatism on pluralism: The practice of the valuation of urban green space using stated-preference approaches. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research* 36, 121-135.
- Mell, I.C. (2013) Can you tell a green field from a cold steel rail? Examining the "green" of green infrastructure development. Local Environment 18, 152-166.
- Plant, L., Rambaldi, A, and Sipe, N. (2016) Evaluating revealed preferences for street tree cover targets: A business case for collaborative investment in leafier streetscapes in Brisbane, Australia. *Ecological Economics* 134, 238-249.
- Roy, S., Byrne, J. and Pickering, C. (2012) A systematic quantitative review of urban tree benefits, costs, and assessment methods across cities in different climatic zones. Urban Forestry & Urban Greening 11, 351-363.
- Shepley, M., Sachs, N., Sadatsafavi, H., Fournier, C. and Peditto, K. (2019) The impact of green space on violent crime in urban environments: An evidence synthesis. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 16, 5119.
- Wright, H. (2011) Understanding green infrastructure: the development of a contested concept in England. *Local Environment* 16, 1003-1019.



Questions or comments?

t.matthews@griffith.edu.au @drtonymatthews