


laureljohnsonplanning@gmail.com

Community Participation Theory + Practice



Planning theorists are not coherent

 There are Stages and Scales for Community Participation in Planning Oscillate between structural power analysis: worthy + not so worthy community participants

++

Ideas of deliberative democracy: manifest in collaborative planning theory

Theories of community participation in planning

Stages + Scales

- City-wide + Strategic is OK- general ideas and words
- Site- specific + plan implementation is not OK (limited to impact assessable)
- Neighbours as police- development compliance- not OK

How does this look in practice?

Beautiful words + Average developments

#### Which City?









Our accessible, connected city



Our active, healthy city



Our clean, green city



Our friendly, safe city



Our New World City



Our smart, prosperous city



Our vibrant, creative city



Our well-designed, subtropical city



### Which City?




Our city's design and development promote quality place making and liveability. Positive environmental, sustainable, inclusive, and cultural outcomes are sought. Our city is also well connected with active and public transport options.







# How does this look in practice?



Something breaks between the plan's strategic vision and its implementation

- Public scrutiny: community participation
- Quality development assessment: there is no design training for town planners, how do they assess amenity?





## Community participation in planning has a shadow

- Exclusion, Exhaustion
- Awfulising
- Legalistic, Language
- Conflicted, Adversarial
- Limited to Planning Matters- no emotions



